Magnetic anisotropy, magnetostatic interactions and identification of magnetofossils
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Single-domain magnetite particles produced by magnetotactic bacteria (MTB) and aligned in chains, called magnetosomes, are potentially important recorders of paleomagnetic, paleoenvironmental and paleolife signals. Rock magnetic properties related to the anisotropy of magnetosome chains have been widely used to identify fossilized magnetosomes (magnetofossils) preserved in geological materials. However, ambiguities exist when linking magnetic properties to the chain structure because of the complexity of chain integrity and magnetostatic interactions among magnetofossils that results from chain collapse during post-depositional diagenesis. In this paper, magnetic properties of three sets of samples containing extracted magnetosomes of the cultured Magneto- spirillum magneticum strain AMB-1 were analyzed to determine how chain integrity and particle concentration influence magnetic properties. Intact MTB and well-dispersed magnetosome chains are characterized by strong magnetic anisotropy and weak magnetostatic interactions, but progressive chain breakup and particle clumping significantly increase the degree of magnetostatic interaction. This results in a change of the magnetic signature toward properties typical of interacting, single-domain particles, i.e., a decrease of the ratio of anhysteretic remanent magnetization to the saturation isothermal remanent magnetization, decreasing in the crossing point of the Wohlfarth-Cisowski test and in the delta ratio between losses of field and zero-field cooled remanent magnetization across the Verwey transition, as well as vertical broadening of the first-order reversal curve distribution. We propose a new diagram that summarizes the Verwey transition properties, with diagnostic limits for intact and collapsed chains of magnetosomes. This diagram can be used, in conjunction with other parameters, to identify unoxidized magnetofossils in sediments and rocks.
1. Introduction

[2] Single-domain (SD) magnetite crystals formed within membrane organelles by magnetotactic bacteria (MTB), called magnetosomes, have long been of interest in the bio- and geo-sciences, including paleomagnetic, paleoenvironmental and paleolife studies [Kopp and Kirschvink, 2008; Jimenez-Lopez et al., 2010]. In MTB cells, magnetosomes are often organized into single or multiple chains that facilitate orientation and navigation of cells along geomagnetic field lines, a process known as magnetotaxis [Bazylinski and Frankel, 2004; Faitvre and Schüler, 2008]. After cell death and dissolution, magnetosome crystals can be preserved in sediments and are then called magnetofossils [Chang and Kirschvink, 1989; Kopp and Kirschvink, 2008]. Since MTB communities and their synthesized magnetites are sensitive to environmental factors such as oxygen, salinity, iron source and nitrate [Petermann and Bleil, 1993; Bazylinski et al., 2004; Simons et al., 2004; Flies et al., 2005; Li and Pan, 2012; Lin et al., 2012], magnetofossils in natural systems bear useful paleontological and paleoenvironmental information [Hesse, 1994; Yamazaki and Kawahata, 1998; Kim et al., 2005; Kopp et al., 2007; Schumann et al., 2008; Roberts et al., 2011; Larraoña et al., 2012; Yamazaki, 2012]. Furthermore, magnetofossils can serve as stable carriers of natural remanent magnetization (NRM) [Chang and Kirschvink, 1989; Pan et al., 2005a; Kopp and Kirschvink, 2008], and as potential biomarkers for early terrestrial and extraterrestrial life [Thomas-Keprta et al., 2002; Jimenez-Lopez et al., 2010].

[3] The distinctive features of magnetosome magnetite, including the uniform SD-size range, narrow and negatively skewed grain-size distributions, distinctive crystal morphology and chain structure, make it feasible to identify magnetofossils in natural materials through combination of electron microscopy and magnetic methods [Yamazaki and Kawahata, 1998; Weiss et al., 2004a, 2004b; Kim et al., 2005; Pan et al., 2005a; Housen and Moskowitz, 2006; Kopp and Kirschvink, 2008; Schumann et al., 2008; Kopp et al., 2009; Roberts et al., 2011, 2012; Yamazaki, 2012]. Specifically, rock magnetic methods are useful for rapid screening of large quantities of geological samples for magnetofossils [Petersen et al., 1986; Moskowitz et al., 1993; Egli, 2004a, 2004b, 2004c; Egli et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2005; Pan et al., 2005a; Housen and Moskowitz, 2006; Kind et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2011, 2012]. Intact MTB and well-dispersed magnetosome chains are characterized by strong magnetic anisotropy and weak magnetostatic interactions. Combination of these characteristics has been used to identify magnetofossils by various rock magnetic parameters, such as the ratio of the anhysteretic remanent magnetization (ARM) to the isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM) [Petersen et al., 1986; Moskowitz et al., 1993; Egli, 2004a, 2004b, 2004c], coercivity spectra [Egli, 2004a, 2004b, 2004c; Pan et al., 2005a], and first-order reversal curve (FORC) analyses [Chen et al., 2007; Egli et al., 2010; Kind et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2011, 2012], and low-temperature magnetization measurements [Moskowitz et al., 1993; Carter-Stiglitz et al., 2002; Weiss et al., 2004a; Pan et al., 2005a; Housen and Moskowitz, 2006], and ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) spectra [Weiss et al., 2004a; Kopp et al., 2006a, 2006b; Fischer et al., 2008; Gehring et al., 2011; Kind et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2011, 2012].

[4] Intact magnetosome chains often acquire a significantly enhanced low-temperature saturation IRM (SIRM) when cooling the sample across the Verwey transition in a strong field (FC) compared to cooling in zero field (ZFC), which results in an apparent bifurcation of zero-field-warming SIRM curves between FC and ZFC below the Verwey transition [Moskowitz et al., 1993]. The δ-ratio (\(\delta = \delta_{FC}/\delta_{ZFC}\)) quantifies the difference between the remanence losses of FC (\(\delta_{FC}\)) and ZFC (\(\delta_{ZFC}\)) curves upon warming through the Verwey transition [Moskowitz et al., 1993], where the δ-ratio exceeds 2 for intact magnetosome chains [Moskowitz et al., 1993, 2008; Pan et al., 2005b; Li et al., 2009, 2010a, 2010b].

[5] Identification and quantification of magnetofossils in sediments and rocks is, nevertheless, not straightforward because chain fragmentation, and collapse and aggregation of magnetosomes during post-depositional processes may alter the original bulk magnetic signature, and magnetofossils often occur in mixtures with abiogenic magnetic minerals [e.g., Kopp and Kirschvink, 2008]. Therefore, it is essential to evaluate the effects of magnetosome chain disruption on the bulk magnetic properties. Moskowitz et al. [1988, 1993] found that the lysed (broken) magnetosome chains, compared with intact MTB cells, have relatively reduced values of coercivity, remanence coercivity, remanence ratio and ARM/IRM. Kobayashi et al. [2006] demonstrated that these values gradually decrease with increasing chain collapse. Recently, Li et al. [2010a] showed that lysed chains are characterized by lower δ-ratio and larger \(\delta_{ZFC}\) values, as well as an increased vertical spread of the FORC distribution. These experimental studies suggest that the chain structure of
magnetosomes plays an important role in contributing to the unique magnetic properties of magnetosomes (magnetofossils). However, knowledge about magnetic anisotropy and magnetostatic interactions of magnetosomes remains sparse, and systematic studies of the bulk magnetic properties of magnetosomes with different degrees of chain integrity and particle concentration are needed.

In this study, we carried out detailed rock magnetic measurements (i.e., hysteresis loops, IRM acquisition curves, DC and AC demagnetization curves, ARM induction curves, FORCs, and low-temperature thermal demagnetization curves) on three sets of magnetosome-bearing samples with systematic variations in chain integrity and particle concentration. Magnetosomes were obtained from cultures of Magnetospirillum magneticum AMB-1. Our aim is to investigate the effects of chain dependent magnetic anisotropy and magnetostatic interactions on the bulk magnetic properties of magnetosomes. This is important for magnetofossil identification in natural samples.

2. Samples and Methods

2.1. Sample Preparation

*M. magneticum* AMB-1 (ATCC strain 700264) has been cultured anaerobically at 26°C in 10 L of modified ATCC-recommended liquid medium with addition of 60 μM ferric quinate. Cells grown to the stationary phase were harvested by centrifugation. Three sets of samples with different degrees of magnetosome chain integrity were obtained by subjecting the whole cells to 3 ultrasonic treatments, i.e., in 30 mL of distilled water (set A1), NaOH (0.1 M) (set A2) and urea (8 M) (set A3) solutions. We used an ultrasonicator (VCX130, SONICS, USA) with 65 W power and 5 s pulse applied cycles followed by 5 s pauses for a total of 20 min for each treatment. Magnetosomes were collected with a bar magnet (5 mT max.) and washed five times with distilled water. Finally, each set of extracted magnetosomes was suspended in 3 mL of distilled water for subsequent dispersion experiments.

Each set of extracted magnetosomes was equally divided into three groups (a, b and c). The (a) group (A1a to A3a) was directly frozen with liquid nitrogen and then freeze-dried without dilution. The (b) group (A1b to A3b) was produced by dilution to ~0.15% (the similar dilution used by Kopp et al. [2006b]) in CaF₂ by mixing 1 mL of extracted-magnetosome suspension with 0.2 g CaF₂ powder, frozen with liquid nitrogen and freeze-dried. The (c) group (A1c to A3c) was prepared similar to group (b) but with a larger dilution factor by mixing with 1.0 g CaF₂ powder.

To avoid post-oxidation of magnetosomes, the following strategies were adopted during sample preparation: (1) AMB-1 cells were harvested by centrifugation at 4°C and the whole-cell sample was freeze-dried; (2) ultrasonic disruption was carried out in an ice-bath (0°C) in an argon atmosphere; (3) magnetosome extraction, sample washing, and packing was undertaken inside an anaerobic chamber (Coy Labs, USA, [O₂] <300 ppm). Distilled water, NaOH and urea solutions were pretreated by bubbling with nitrogen for 1 h to remove dissolved oxygen; and (4) all samples were maintained in pure nitrogen atmosphere at T = −20°C prior to magnetic measurements.

2.2. Room Temperature Magnetic Measurements

Static IRM acquisition and DC demagnetization curves were measured up to 300 mT in 5 mT increments on previously demagnetized samples using a Model 3900 vibrating sample magnetometer (Princeton Measurements Corporation VSM3900, sensitivity = 0.5 × 10⁻⁹ Am²). ARM acquisition, IRM and ARM AF demagnetization curves, as well as pulse-field IRM acquisition and demagnetization curves were measured with a 2G enterprises superconducting rock magnetometer system (2G-760) coupled with a Model 2G-660 automatic sample degaussing system (sensitivity = 2 × 10⁻¹² Am²). An ARM was acquired in an 80 mT AF with a DC bias field that was stepwise increased from 0 to 0.3 mT, followed by stepwise AF demagnetization up to 100 mT. Acquisition curves of pulse-field IRM were obtained in 5 mT increments with a pulse magnetizer, followed by stepwise demagnetization with a pulsed field in the opposition direction.

To simplify comparison of remanence results, IRM acquisition curves were normalized to the SIRM for each sample, and backfield or reversed field demagnetization curves were rescaled as 1/2(1 + IRM(−H)/SIRM). The median destructive field (MDF) corresponds to the pulsed field (MDFp) or AF (MDFa) at which half of the SIRM or ARM is destroyed. To characterize magnetostatic interactions, the R-value of the Wohlfarth-Cisowski test
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Hysteresis loops were measured with the VSM between ±500 mT, and hysteresis parameters, including saturation magnetization ($M_s$), saturation remanence ($M_r$), and coercivity ($B_c$) were determined after the high-field slope corrections fit to a linear or nonlinear functions [Jackson and Solheid, 2010]. Remanence coercivity ($B_c$) was determined from DC demagnetization curves of SIRM. FORCs [Pike et al., 1999; Roberts et al., 2000] were measured according to the protocol described by Egli et al. [2010]. For each sample, 300 FORCs were measured with a positive saturation field of 500 mT and a field increment ($\delta H$) of 0.63 mT. FORC diagrams were calculated using the FORCinel version 1.21 software with a smoothing factor (SF) of 5 [Harrison and Feinberg, 2008]. According to the Preisach [1935] interpretation of the FORC diagram, the horizontal ($B_c$) and vertical ($B_v$) axes indicate the microcoercivity and interaction field distributions for SD particles, respectively [Pike et al., 1999; Roberts et al., 2000]. Two FORC parameters, median coercivity ($B_{c,\text{FORC}}$) and half-width interaction field ($B_{c,1/2}$), are defined as the median $B_c$ which is given by the marginal coercivity distribution and as the interaction field value where the broadest $B_c$ distribution reduces to half of its maximum, respectively [Egli, 2006b; Egli et al., 2010; Winklhofer and Zimanyi, 2006].

### 2.3. Low-Temperature Magnetic Measurements

Low-temperature magnetic measurements were performed with a Quantum Design Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS XP-5, sensitivity = $5.0 \times 10^{-10}$ Am²), ZFC and FC curves were obtained by cooling samples from 300 K to 10 K in zero field and in a 2.5-T field, respectively, followed by imparting a SIRM in a 2.5-T field (hereafter termed as SIRM$_{10K,2.5T}$), and then measuring the remanence in zero field during warming to 300 K. The Verwey transition signature of magnetosome chains is characterized by the $\delta$-ratio ($\delta_{\text{FC}}/\delta_{\text{ZFC}}$), in which $\delta_{\text{FC}}$ and $\delta_{\text{ZFC}}$ are calculated as $\delta = (M_{50K} - M_{150K})/M_{80K}$, where $M_{80K}$ and $M_{150K}$ are the remanences measured at 80 K and 150 K, respectively [Moskowitz et al., 1993].

### 2.4. Electron Microscopy

Intact cells or extracted magnetosomes of AMB-1 were deposited onto carbon-coated copper grids and studied by using a JEOL JEM-2010 transmission electron microscope (TEM) at a 200 kV accelerating voltage. For scanning electron microscope (SEM) observations, powder samples of extracted magnetosomes were mounted on an aluminum SEM stub using copper tape, and are then coated with gold (~5 nm in thickness). SEM analyses were performed on the Zeiss Supra 55 SEM microscope. The microscope was operated at 5 kV with a working distance of 7.5 mm. Two detectors were used: in-Lens detector for secondary electron imaging (nano-topography of the sample) and an Angle selective Backscattered (AsB) detector for low-angle backscattered electrons, which provide a contrast that is more sensitive to crystal orientation. The spatial arrangements of extracted magnetosomes and their dispersion states within CaF$_2$ matrix were examined in situ.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Electron Microscopic Examinations

TEM and SEM observations indicate that the sequential cell treatments for sample preparation produced an increasing degree of chain breakup and particle aggregation (Figure 1). The first-step treatment destroyed visible cell structures and caused some degree of chain aggregation (chain pairs), with most particles remaining in chains and associated with cell debris (Figures 1b and 1e). After the second-step treatment, magnetosome chains are still recognized, but are mostly packed to form large aggregates (Figure 1c). The third-step treatment led to magnetosome clumping (Figure 1d).

SEM observations indicate that the CaF$_2$ particles have plate-like shapes with typical sizes of ~10 µm in plane length (Figure 1i). The extracted magnetosomes are attached to CaF$_2$ and are well dispersed in the diluted samples (Figures 1f–1j). Nevertheless, the main features of spatial arrangements of extracted magnetosomes still remain, i.e., isolated chains of magnetosomes occur predominantly in set A1 (e.g., Figures 1f, 1i, and 1j), short chains and individual particles coexist in set A2 (e.g., Figure 1g), and individual particles dominate set A3 (e.g., Figure 1h).

#### 3.2. FORC Diagrams

Coercivity and interaction distribution trends can be recognized in the FORC diagrams in Figure 2.
The whole-cell sample has a FORC distribution with a clear so-called central ridge feature [Egli et al., 2010], which indicates no or negligible inter-cell or inter-chain interactions [Pike et al., 1999; Roberts et al., 2000]. The FORC diagram for sample A1a has a typical “tear drop” shape of interacting SD particles, as described by Pike et al. [1999] and Egli [2006b]. FORC diagrams for samples A2a and A3a (also without dilution) exhibit strong magnetostatic interactions between individual particles, in which the contours intersect the $B_s$ axis and significantly expand in the vertical direction (Table 1). As expected, dilution produces FORC diagrams with a smaller vertical spread, and thus a less amount of magnetostatic interactions. Overall, the vertical spread of FORC diagram increases with increasing particle concentration (from right to left in Figure 2), and with increasingly strong ultrasonic treatment (from top to bottom). The central ridge of FORC diagram, which is characteristic of non-interacting and weakly interacting uniaxial SD particles [Egli et al., 2010], becomes sharper with dilution (from left to right) and with decreasingly ultrasonic treatment (from bottom to top). The position of the peak of the FORC distribution is not significantly affected by dilution, but

![Figure 1. Electron microscopic observations of *M. magneticum* AMB-1 magnetosomes. (a) Typical TEM image of intact AMB-1 cells. TEM images of extracted magnetosomes (without dilution) after (b) one, (c) two, and (d) three steps of the disaggregation treatment. The upper right-hand insets in Figures 1c and 1d are of a single particle with (arrow) and without (no arrow) magnetosome membranes, respectively. Typical SEM images of extracted magnetosomes after (e) one step of treatment, and (f–j) CaF$_2$-diluted samples of extracted magnetosomes. Note: extracted magnetosomes within organic or/and CaF$_2$ matrix can be recognized based on their typical chain structures and grain size of ~50 nm, as well as their contrast from the matrix.](image)
shifts to lower $B_c$ values with increasingly strong treatment (Table 1).

3.3. ARM/SIRM

[18] ARM acquisition curves normalized by SIRM are shown in Figure 3. As expected, ARM is lowered by increasing magnetostatic interactions from chain aggregates to disordered magnetosome clumps (i.e., $\text{AMB} > \text{A1a} > \text{A2a} > \text{A3a}$). Progressive sample dilution also decreases magnetostatic interactions (e.g., $\text{A1a} < \text{A1b} < \text{A1c}$). ARM acquisition curves for the extracted magnetosome samples are intermediate between the limiting cases of non-interacting SD particles and chains (e.g., AMB-1 cells) and highly interacting SD particles from Chiton teeth [Cisowski, 1981], respectively.

3.4. Remanent Magnetization Curves

[19] Results of the Wohlfarth-Cisowski test [Cisowski, 1981], where the shape of acquisition
and demagnetization curves, normalized to SIRM, are compared and shown in Figure 4. In an ideal case of non-interacting SD particles, theIRM acquisition and demagnetization curves are symmetric with respect to each other and cross at $R = 0.5$. Crossing values $R < 0.5$ are indicative of magnetostatic interactions in SD particles or of non-SD behavior [e.g., Moskowitz et al., 1988, 1993]. In the case of the present study, this consideration is irrelevant because all of the particles under investigation are SD. The $R$-parameters for the whole-cell sample AMB-1 are close to 0.5 (e.g., $R_{sf} = 0.48$ and $R_{pf} = 0.49$; Figure 4a and Table 1), which confirms that isolated magnetosome chains behave like non-interacting SD particles, as also shown by FORC measurements. Magnetization curves for AMB-1 are symmetric, with no low- or high-coercivity tails: this is typical of MTB magnetite due to the narrow distribution of anisotropies and grain sizes [e.g., Moskowitz et al., 1993].

Magnetization curves for samples with a decreasing degree of chain integrity (i.e., AMB > A1a > A2a > A3a) have progressively decreasing $R$ and MDF values (Table 1); the magnetization curves also become progressively more right-skewed with decreasing chain integrity (Figures 4b–4d). In contrast, sample dilution tends to increase $R$ toward the value typical of intact cells (e.g., $A1a < A1b < A1c$) (Table 1). For sample sets A2 and A3, MDF, $B_{cr}$ and $B_c$ values increase with increasing dilution, while they slightly decrease for set A1 (Table 1). A possible interpretation is that magnetosome chains were fragmented during dilution, resulting in a slightly decreased anisotropy.

### 3.5. Hysteresis Parameters

[21] On a Day plot ($B_{cr}/B_c$ versus $M_{rs}/M_s$) [Day et al., 1977; Dunlop, 2002], data for the whole-cell sample of AMB-1 fall in the same cluster as for intact MTB cells [Moskowitz et al., 1993; Pan et al., 2005b; Lin and Pan, 2009; Zhu et al., 2010], with $B_{cr}/B_c$ and $M_{rs}/M_s$ values typical of non-interacting, uniaxial SD particles [Cisowski, 1981]. With progressive chain disruption but no dilution, the hysteresis parameters move away from the uniaxial SD region along the SD-MD mixing line (Figure 5 and Table 1). Hysteresis parameters for set A1 (black circles) seem to be less affected by dilution and are close to the uniaxial SD region. Sets A2 and A3 have lower $M_{rs}/M_s$ and higher $B_{cr}/B_c$ values. Dilution treatments result in the diluted A2 and A3 samples moving back slightly toward the uniaxial SD region (Figure 5).

### 3.6. ZFC and FC SIRM Curves

[22] Thermal demagnetization curves of SIRM$_{10K-2.5T}$ for all nine extracted magnetosome samples and the whole-cell sample are shown in Figure 6. Compared with their intact counterpart (AMB-1), both ZFC and FC warming curves have steep SIRM decay for the extracted magnetosomes. The value of TD$_{10—30K}$, which is equal to $100 \times (M_{10K} - M_{30K})/M_{10K}$, increases with progressive
cell disruption (e.g., AMB < A1a < A2a < A3a), and decreases with progressive sample dilution (e.g., A2a > A2b > A2c) with the exception for the A1 set (Table 2). The remanence loss between 10 and 30 K can be attributed to the combined effects of magnetostatic interactions and superparamagnetic (SPM) relaxation [Pike et al., 2000]. The latter might emerge in collapsed chains because of the decrease of uniaxial anisotropy.

[23] All samples have the same Verwey transition temperature of 102 ± 2 K, which is much lower than that of stoichiometric magnetite [Walz, 2002], but comparable to that of fresh AMB-1 cell samples (104 K) and other MTB strains that have not undergone further oxidation beyond the possibly original non-stoichiometric state [Moskowitz et al., 2008; Li et al., 2009; Li and Pan, 2012]. Compared with the whole-cell sample AMB-1, extracted magnetosome samples have much higher $\delta_{ZFC}$ but lower $\delta$-ratio values (Table 2). Eight extracted magnetosome samples with the exception of A1c have $\delta$-ratios between 1.20 and 1.86, which is lower than 2.0, and thus fail the Moskowitz test as expected [Moskowitz et al., 1993; Weiss et al., 2004a; Li et al., 2010a]. The A1 sample set, which consists of intact chains with various dilutions, is characterized by relatively higher $\delta$-ratios and lower $\delta_{ZFC}$ values compared with A2 and A3 sets. Within the same sample set, dilution increases the $\delta$-ratios but reduces $\delta_{ZFC}$ values (Table 2).

4. Discussion

4.1. Characterizing Magnetostatic Interactions

[24] $\chi_{ARM}/SIRM$ ratios for samples from this study are plotted versus corresponding $B_{b,1/2}$ and $R_{sf}$.
values in Figure 7. $\chi_{ARM}$/SIRM correlates well with $B_{b,1/2}$ and $R_{Sf}$, which indicates their consistency for characterizing magnetostatic interactions. Existing models of interaction effects on ARM [Egli, 2006a] and FORC measurements [Egli, 2006b] predict the $\chi_{ARM}$/SIRM and the vertical half-width of the FORC distribution for interacting SD particles as a function of their volume concentration (i.e., the volume fraction $p$ of the sample that is occupied by the particles). Comparison of these parameters for the same concentration values defines a black line in Figure 7a (data courtesy of Ramon Egli). Using the initial $\chi_{ARM}$/SIRM of AMB-1 reported in Table 1 as the non-interacting value (i.e., $r_a$ in Egli [2006a]), the resulting curve is consistent with our experimental data. The weakly interacting samples (i.e., A1b, A2b, A3b, A2c and A3c), i.e., those where the coercivity is larger than the interaction field, are in good agreement with the theoretical correlation of Egli [2006a, 2006b] between these parameters. Data for high interacting samples (i.e., A1a, A2a and A3a) and non-interacting samples (i.e., AMB-1 and A1c) agree less well with the theoretical curve. The data below the theoretical curve for samples of A1a, A2a and A3a can be interpreted as their more or less bimodal FORC diagrams (Figures 2b, 2e, and 2h), which may result from a non-homogeneous assemblage of interacting particles or/and a mixture of interacting particles and more or less weakly or non-interacting particles (e.g., residual magnetosome chains) [Chen et al., 2007]. For non-interacting sample, the lower limit of the vertical width of the FORC diagram is set by the resolution of the measurements $D_H$ ($d_H/C^2(SF + 1/2)$ [Egli et al., 2010]. It ought to have zero vertical width if it is perfectly defined (i.e., $D_H = 0$). However, it will always have a nonzero value of $B_{b,1/2}$ because of the finiteness of the field steps used in FORC measurements and the smoothing factor used.

Figure 4. Normalized IRM acquisition and demagnetization curves, and ARM AF demagnetization curves for (a) whole-cell sample (AMB-1), and (b-d) extracted magnetosome samples. In Figure 4a, results are shown for static, pulsed and AF demagnetization for AMB-1, while results are shown for extracted magnetosomes in Figures 4b–4d, with static field acquisition and demagnetization (Figure 4b), pulsed field acquisition and demagnetization (Figure 4c), and AF demagnetization (Figure 4d).
when processing FORC data [Egli et al., 2010]. The data above the theoretical curve for AMB-1 and A1c are related to an experimental limitation of FORC measurements in this study, e.g., $D_H = 3.5$ mT. [25] ARM has been widely used for characterizing and identifying magnetic particles in geological samples, e.g., as a grain size indicator in rock magnetism [Johnson et al., 1975; King et al., 1982], and as a normalization factor in paleointensity studies [Banerjee and Mellema, 1974; Shaw, 1974; Yu, 2010], as well as to quantify magnetofossils in fresh and marine sediments [Petersen et al., 1986; Egli, 2004c; Paasche and Lovlie, 2011]. However, magnetostatic interactions reduce the $\chi_{ARM}/SIRM$ and ARM/SIRM ratios of interacting SD particles to an extent that depends on their volume concentration, as observed experimentally [Sugiura, 1979], and predicted by analytical models [Egli, 2006a]. Experimental data from this study indicate that ARM is strongly affected by and highly sensitive to magnetostatic interactions (Figure 3). Even a slight increase in the vertical spread of the FORC distribution or a slight decrease of the crossover parameter $R$ used for the Wohlfarth-Cisowski test is associated with a strong decrease in ARM/SIRM. For example, compared with the initial $\chi_{ARM}/SIRM$ value of the whole-cell AMB-1 sample, which is indicative of non-interacting value, ARM for A1b is reduced to $\approx 50\%$ while accompanied by a small change in $B_{b,1/2}$ (e.g., 1.2 mT and 2.2 mT for AMB-1 and A1b, respectively) and $R$ (e.g., 0.49 and 0.45 for AMB-1 and A1b, respectively) (Table 1). Most importantly, experimental data from this study also demonstrate that $\chi_{ARM}/SIRM$ does not decrease below a critical threshold of $1 \times 10^{-3}$ mA if magnetosome chains remain intact and well dispersed (i.e., whole-cell sample AMB-1 and extracted-magnetosome sample A1c). On the other hand, caution should be used when screening geological samples for magnetofossils using ARM measurements, because chain collapse can lower the $\chi_{ARM}/SIRM$ ratio below this threshold, which is often considered diagnostic of the presence of magnetofossils [Moskowitz et al., 1993].

Figure 5. Day plot ($B_{cr}/B_c$ versus $M_{cr}/M_s$) for the studied series of AMB-1 magnetosome samples. Stars with crosses indicate whole-cell samples of MTB reported in previous studies [Moskowitz et al., 1993; Pan et al., 2005b; Lin and Pan, 2009; Zhu et al., 2010]. The dashed lines are mixing lines for SD-MD and SD-SP mixtures from Dunlop [2002].

Figure 6. Zero-field thermal demagnetization of SIRM produced in a 2.5-T field at 10 K for the studied series of AMB-1 magnetosome samples. (a) ZFC warming curves. (b) FC warming curves. The data are normalized to their own remanences at 300 K.
4.2. Mechanism of $B_{c,\text{FORC}}$

The FORC technique has advantages in simultaneously visualizing the microcoercivity and interaction field distributions, as well as domain states of magnetic minerals in measured samples [Pike et al., 1999; Roberts et al., 2000], and thus has been used to characterize magnetosome biomineralization [Carvallo et al., 2009; Li et al., 2009] and quantitatively detect magnetofossils [Chen et al., 2007; Egli et al., 2010; Winklhofer and Zimanyi, 2006]. Models for the FORC signature of SD particles have shown that $B_c$ in the FORC diagram corresponds to the switching field, whose median is the coercivity of remanence $B_{cr}$ [Egli, 2006b; Egli et al., 2010; Winklhofer and Zimanyi, 2006]. To examine this idea, $B_{c,\text{FORC}}$ values for samples in this study are plotted versus their corresponding $B_c$, $B_{cr}$, MDF$_{pf}$ and MDF$_{af}$ values in Figure 8.

$B_{c,\text{FORC}}$ has good linear correlation with $B_c$ and $B_{cr}$, and poorer linear correlation with MDF$_{pf}$ and MDF$_{af}$. Furthermore, for the measured samples, $B_c$ is systematically lower than the corresponding $B_{c,\text{FORC}}$ values, while $B_{cr}$ values nicely fall onto the $s = 1$ line with $B_{c,\text{FORC}}$ values. FORC and hysteresis

---

**Table 2.** Low-Temperature Magnetic Parameters for the Studied Series of AMB-1 Magnetosome Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$T_v$ (K)</th>
<th>$\delta_{FC}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{ZFC}$</th>
<th>$\delta_{FC}/\delta_{ZFC}$</th>
<th>FC-TD (%)</th>
<th>ZFC-TD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMB</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>44.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1a</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1b</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1c</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2a</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>74.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2b</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>62.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2c</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>57.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3a</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3b</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>65.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3c</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>62.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Notation: $T_v$, Verwey transition temperature, is determined from the maximum of the $dM/dT$ of FC data; $\delta_{FC}$ and $\delta_{ZFC}$ is calculated from $\delta = (M_{80\text{K}}-M_{150\text{K}})/M_{80\text{K}}$ ($M_{80\text{K}}$ and $M_{150\text{K}}$ are the remanences measured at 80 K and 150 K, respectively). TD%(X→Y K)=(MX-MY)/MX×100 (MX and MY are the remanences measured at X K and Y K, respectively).*

---

**Figure 7.** Correlation between $\chi_{\text{ARM}}/\text{SIRM}$ and the half width of the interaction field distribution from the FORC diagram ($B_{b,1/2}$), the Wohlfarth-Cisowski test ($R_{sf}$) for characterizing magnetostatic interactions in the studied series of AMB-1 magnetosome samples. (a) $\chi_{\text{ARM}}/\text{SIRM}$ (at $B_{\text{applied}} = 0.1 \text{ mT}$) versus $B_{b,1/2}$. The black line is a theoretically simulated relationship between $\chi_{\text{ARM}}/\text{SIRM}$ and $B_{b,1/2}$ for interacting SD particles as a function of their volume concentration, and the number indicates packing fraction value $p$ (data courtesy of Ramon Egli) [Egli, 2006a, 2006b]. (b) $\chi_{\text{ARM}}/\text{SIRM}$ versus $R_{sf}$. $\chi_{\text{ARM}}/\text{SIRM}$ is determined from ARM acquisition, where $\chi_{\text{ARM}} = \text{ARM}/b$, where $b$ is the bias field in A/m (here $b = 0.1 \text{ mT} = 79.5775 \text{ A/m}$ for magnetite). $B_{b,1/2}$ and $R_{sf}$ are determined from the FORC distribution and normalized IRM acquisition and demagnetization curves, respectively.
loop measurements on oriented samples of uncul-
tured MYR-1 [Li et al., 2010b] at various angles
with respect to the magnetosome chain direction
indicate a strong linear correlation between
$B_{c,\text{FORC}}$ and $B_{c\text{,cr}}$, but poor correlation between
$B_{c,\text{FORC}}$ and $B_{c\text{,cr}}$. Taken together, these studies reinforce the
idea that $B_{c,\text{FORC}}$ is equivalent to $B_{c\text{,cr}}$ rather than $B_{c\text{,cr}}$.

4.3. The $\delta$-Plot and Identification
of Magnetofossils

Experiments in this study indicate that both
intact cells of AMB-1 and extracted magnetosomes
in well-dispersed chains have $\delta$-ratios larger than the
threshold of 2.0, which supports the validity of the
Moskowitz test [Moskowitz et al., 1993] for magneto-
foossil identification. In contrast, increasing degrees
of chain disruption or particle aggregation, or both,
produce lower $\delta$-ratios and higher $\delta_{ZFC}$ values, which
makes the Verwey transition signature more similar
to that of abiogenic assemblages of SD magnetic
particles [Moskowitz et al., 1993; Carter-Stiglitz et al.,
2002; Li et al., 2010a]. This trend is summarized in
a plot of $\delta_{ZFC}$ versus $\delta_{FC}/\delta_{ZFC}$ (hereafter referred to
as the $\delta$-plot), where these data can be fitted by
the function $\delta_{FC}/\delta_{ZFC} = 7.11 \exp(-\delta_{ZFC}/0.057) +
6583.22\exp(-\delta_{ZFC}/0.0063) + 1.21$ ($r^2 = 0.999$)
(Figure 9).

Several studies have demonstrated that the test
of Moskowitz et al. [1993] for identifying magneto-
fossils is regularly compromised by low-temperature
oxidation of magnetosomes or/and by physical dis-
ruption of magnetosome chains [Moskowitz et al.,
1993; Smirnov and Tarduno, 2000; Passier and Dekkers,
2002; Weiss et al., 2004a; Pan et al., 2005a; Housen and Moskowitz,
2006; Roberts et al., 2012]. Given that oxidation can largely be pre-
cluded in the present study of fresh material, the
present results provide an opportunity to assess how

Figure 8. Correlation between coercivity ($B_c$), remanence coercivity ($B_{c\text{,cr}}$), median destructive field (MDF) and $B_{c,\text{FORC}}$ for the studied series of AMB-1 magnetosome samples. $B_c$, $B_{c\text{,cr}}$, MDF$_{pf}$, MDF$_{af}$, and $B_{c,\text{FORC}}$ are determined from hysteresis loops, DC demagnetization curves for SIRM, reversed pulsed-field demagnetization of SIRM, AF demag-
netization of ARM, and FORC distributions, respectively. The dashed lines are regression fits to the measured data.
magnetosome chain disruption affects the $\delta$-ratio. The $\delta$-plot nicely reflects the spatial and geometric arrangement of magnetosome chains and can therefore be used to identify unoxidized magnetofossils in natural samples. It has been shown that the FMR fingerprints of magnetosome chains are unique and natural samples. It has been shown that the FMR fingerprints of magnetosome chains are unique and seem to be less susceptible to particle oxidation [Weiss et al., 2004a; Kopp et al., 2006a; Kopp and Kirschvink, 2008; Chang et al., 2012], and therefore useful in identifying magnetofossils in geological samples [Kopp et al., 2006a, 2007, 2009; Gehring et al., 2011; Kind et al., 2011; Roberts et al., 2011, 2012]. Moreover, the sharp crystal morphology and grain size distribution of magnetosomes (magnetofossils) is also useful for detecting magnetofossils [Arató et al., 2005]. Therefore, combined macro-micro approaches, i.e., rock magnetism, FMR and TEM, are the safest ways to unambiguously identify and quantify magnetofossils preserved in natural samples.

5. Conclusions

Detailed rock magnetic analyses of cultured MTB (i.e., AMB-1) that were subjected to a varying physical and chemical treatments that produce increasing cell and magnetosome disruption were made to assess changes in the magnetic signature of magnetosomes associated with chain disruption. With progressive chain break up or particle clumping, the magnetic parameters $B_c$, $B_{cc}$, MDF, $B_{c,\text{FORC}}$, $\chi_{\text{ARM/SIRM}}$ and $\delta$-ratio decrease, while $B_{b,1/2}$ and $\delta_{\text{ZFC}}$ values increase. This indicates that rock magnetic measurements are sensitive to both magnetic anisotropy and magnetostatic interactions, which are useful for qualitatively and quantitatively detecting magnetofossils in geological samples. For samples with predominantly SD magnetic particles and relatively narrow grain size distributions (e.g., MTB magnetite), the $\chi_{\text{ARM/SIRM}}$ or ARM/SIRM ratios appear to be more sensitive to the degree of magnetostatic interactions. By comparison, results from this study reinforce the idea that $B_{c,\text{FORC}}$ is equivalent to $B_{cr}$ rather than $B_c$. The $\delta$-plot ($\delta_{\text{ZFC}}$ versus $\delta_{\text{FC}}$) which summarizes Verwey transition properties, correlates well with the spatial and geometric arrangements of magnetosomes, and could provide a new method to diagnose unoxidized magnetofossils. We propose that comprehensive analyses (e.g., $\chi_{\text{ARM/SIRM}}, \text{FORC}, \delta$-plot, FMR and TEM) are needed to effectively detect magnetofossils in bulk natural samples.
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